

## Corrigendum

Guigueno MF, Karouna-Renier NK, Henry PFP, Peters LE, Palace VP, Letcher RJ, Fernie KJ. Sex-specific responses in neuroanatomy of hatchling American kestrels in response to embryonic exposure to the flame retardants bis(2-ethylhexyl)-2,3,4,5-tetrabromophthalate and 2-ethylhexyl-2,3,4,5-tetrabromobenzoate. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 2018; 37: 3032–3040. DOI: 10.1002/etc.4238

The authors of the above-noted paper hereby submit the following corrections.

1. Correct *Abstract* as follows: "... 1 of 3 doses of either BEH-TEBP (12, 60, or 107 ng/g egg) or EH-TBB (11, 55, or 137 ng/g egg)."
2. Correct *Methods* section as follows: "... 1 of 3 fixed doses (nominally 10, 50, or 100 ng/g egg ww) of either BEH-TEBP (>99% purity) or EH-TBB (>99% purity; both from Wellington Laboratories) dissolved in organic safflower oil."
3. Correct *Methods* section as follows: "The measured concentrations of EH-TBB in the safflower oil dosing solutions used for the EH-TBB exposures were as follows: low dose (11 ng/ $\mu$ L), medium dose (55 ng/ $\mu$ L), and high dose (137 ng/ $\mu$ L). For the eggs exposed to BEH-TEBP, the measured concentrations of this flame retardant in the related dosing solutions were as follows: low dose (12 ng/ $\mu$ L), medium dose (60 ng/ $\mu$ L), and high dose (107 ng/ $\mu$ L). In the control safflower oil, EH-TBB and BEH-TEBP were below chemical detection (<0.3 ng/ $\mu$ L). We injected each egg with 1  $\mu$ L of dosing solution per gram of egg."
4. Correct Figure 2 caption as follows: "... to the environmentally relevant dose of BEH-TEBP (12 ng/g egg) and the high dose of BEH-TEBP (107 ng/g egg)."
5. Correct Table 1 caption as follows: "... exposed to either organic safflower oil only, BEH-TEBP (107 ng/g egg), or EH-TBB (137 ng/g egg) on embryonic day 5."
6. Correct Figure 3 caption as follows: "... (EH-TBB) used in the present study (11, 55, or 137 ng/g egg)."

These corrections do not change the discussion or conclusion of the paper.